

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,226	11/12/2003	John W. Rohrer	6313	
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER	
John W. Rohrer 5 Long Cove Rd. York, ME 03909			LEGESSE, NINI F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/706,226	ROHRER, JOHN W.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nini F. Legesse	3711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period fo			·				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ne 2005.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′=	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-15,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in the a	nnlication					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
·	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,14 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
- /.	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A44	Was						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response to the last office action is acknowledged on 06/09/05. A new non-final office action is provided below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6 and 9 are indefinite because it appears that Applicant is claiming the different embodiments of his invention together. As shown on Figs. 1-3 the base element (5) is only attached to the face of the putter. However, on the second embodiment of the instant application, the base element (30) is attached to the putter with element 32 that is considered a flexible hinge on page 11 of the instant application. It is not clear how the embodiment as shown in Figs. 1-3 of the instant application is capable of being flexibly attached to the club head.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hambly (US Patent No. 6,579,191).

With respect to claim 1, Hambly discloses a focused beam emitter (40), a holder (35), a base (27 or 22), base 27 or 22 are considered as being minimally visible, energy source (58), and activation means (54).

With respect to claim 2, the focused beam is a visible light (40).

With respect to claims 5 and 7, as shown on Figs. 1 and 6, the device is not physically attached to the putter.

With respect to claims 8 and 9, mechanical means (52) is capable of allowing the beam of the device to maintain its height or attitude relative to the ground.

With respect to claims 10 and 15, the device has a switch (54).

With respect to claim 17, target 80 is considered as a vertically oriented backstop screen.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

0744

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hambly in view of Official Notice.

Hambly discloses the use of a laser source (40). However, the use of any other type of light mechanism including infrared, radio frequency or electro-magnetic sources would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the training device with any one of them since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of the above listed sources for there use in the golf art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide light in a training device would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hambly.

The device of Hambly appears to meet the claim 9see laser actuation 50 in Fig. 2).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Halsey in view of Ogden (US Patent No. 5,374,063).

Halsey fails to show a switch that is located on the grip section of the club. However positioning a switch on grip area is old in the golf art. Ogden is one reference among many that teaches a grip area switch location (see item 32 on Fig. 1). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position switch (58) of the Halsey's invention at the grip area of the club in order to make the switch easily accessible to the user so that he/she would not have to bend to actuate the switch.

Art Unit: 3711

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 14 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

With respect to the argument regarding claim 6, Applicant appears to be claiming the different embodiments of his invention together. As shown on Figs. 1-3 the base element (5) is only attached to the face of the putter. However, on the second embodiment of the instant application, the base element (30) is attached to the putter with element 32 that is considered a flexible hinge on page 11 of the instant application. It is not clear how the embodiment as shown in Figs. 1-3 of the instant application is capable of being flexibly attached to the club head.

In response to applicant's argument that the Hambly's laser is not in the golf ball like element, referring to Fig. 6 element 35 is clearly a golf ball like element.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-4412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

no Fo Legene NFL

07/11/05